SPEAKERS PANEL (PLANNING)

25 April 2018

Commenced: 10.00am Terminated: 10.45am

Present: Councillor McNally (Chair)

Councillors P Fitzpatrick, Glover, S Quinn, Ricci, Sweeton,

Travis, Ward, Wild and Dickinson

Apologies for absence: Councillors Kinsey and D Lane

38. MINUTES

The Minutes of the proceedings of the meeting held on 21 March 2018 having been circulated, were taken as read and signed by the Chair as a correct record.

39. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest submitted by Members.

40. OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED CONTROLLED PARKING SCHEME WATERLOO GARDENS, ASHTON-UNDER-LYNE

Consideration was given to a report of the Director of Operations and Neighbourhoods, which explained that in 2013, a Controlled Parking Scheme was introduced on Hurst Bank Road, Crompton Street, Blenheim Way, Wilson Crescent and Midgely Crescent off Mossley Road, Ashton-under-Lyne. It was always made clear that if residents of Waterloo Gardens suffered as a consequence of these restrictions a further scheme would be promoted.

Further development in the area including a new housing estate and school, had exacerbated the current on street parking situation and a petition, signed by the majority of the residents to extend the existing Controlled Parking Scheme was received by the Traffic Operations department.

A consultation with Ward Councillors and residents had taken place in February 2017 and statutory consultation commenced in June 2017 for 28 days. One objection was received.

The objection was made by a resident of the local area. The resident argued that the proposed extension to the existing Controlled Parking Area was necessary and that if the scheme were to be implemented, non permit holders would be forced to park further down Waterloo Gardens. The objector had suggested that waiting restrictions at the junction of Blenheim Way and Waterloo Gardens would be a preferable measure.

The response of the Director of Operations and Neighbourhoods was detailed and it was explained that a questionnaire was sent to the residents who would be affected by the proposals and 69% of the residents were in favour of the scheme extending into Waterloo Gardens. The introduction of waiting restrictions would not be beneficial to the residents as obstructive parking had not been deemed a problem in the vicinity.

The objector was not present at the meeting.

Discussion ensued with regard to the above and consideration was given to the information provided, including the objection raised and response given and it was:

RESOLVED

That authority be given for the necessary action to be taken in accordance with the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 to make the following order: THE TAMESIDE METROPOLITAN BOROUGH (HURST BANK ROAD AREA, ASHTON-UNDER-LYNE (CONTROLLED PARKING AREA) ORDER 2017 as follows:

Introduce a Controlled Parking Zone on Waterloo Gardens, both sides, from its junction with Blenheim Way in a northerly direction for a distance of 11m (the northerly gable end of property number 34 Waterloo Gardens).

41. APPEAL DECISION NOTICES

Application reference/Address of Property.	Description	Appeal Decision
Appeal Ref: APP/G4240/D/17/3192055 26 Low Wood Road, Denton, Manchester. M34 2PD	rear and side.	Appeal dismissed.
Appeal Ref: APP/G4240/D/18/3195689 15 Broadfield Close, Denton, Manchester. M34 6BN	extension.	Appeal dismissed.

42. PLANNING APPLICATIONS

The Panel gave consideration to the schedule of applications submitted and it was:-

RESOLVED

That the applications for planning permission be determined as detailed below:-

Name and Application No:	17/00984/REM BDW Trading Ltd (Barratt Manchester)
Proposed Development:	Application for the approval of reserved matters (means of access, landscaping, layout, scale and appearance) relating to the residential phase of development approved under outline planning permission 16/00962/OUT.
	Site off Hattersley Road West (North of the junction with Sandy Bank Avenue), Hattersley.
Speaker(s)/Late Representations:	Peter Walker spoke in objection to the application.
Decision:	Approve subject to conditions as set out in the report.

Name and Application No	16/00403/OUT			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	c/o Emery Plannir	ng Partnership L	td	
Proposed Development:	Outline planning associated works matters reserved) Land formerly P	application for 6 s (access to be	erection of 2 e considered	d with all other
	Tameside.	roopoot riouoo,	Otookport	rtodd, mooolog,
Speaker(s)/Late Representations:	Gareth Salthouse application.	of Emery Planr	ning, spoke i	n support of the
Additional Comments/Information	The Development Manager, Planning, circulated the following information in respect of 106 contributions. It was explained that, within paragraph 18.2 figures had been quoted incorrectly, with the full 106 contributions quoted as per unit amounts. Paragraph 18.2 should read:			
			tions quoted as	
	In this case, the amounts per unit:		rator sugges	ts the following
		Green Space	Education	Highways
	Amount per each 2 bed unit	£471.52	£821.79	£584.63
	Amount per each 3 bed unit	£707.28	£1232.68	£876.94
	It is intended that a scheme for inc from 156 to 180 a phased over 5 classrooms. The used towards ins A670 to improve Livingstone Prima	reasing admissing temperature to the second	ion numbers ns High Scho eptember 20 hways contr blled pedestr	per year group ool. This will be 118 to remodel ibution is to be ian crossing on
	The Development the Green Spa enhancements to footpaths.	ce contributio	n would b	e utilised for
Decision:	Approve subject t	o:		
	The completion of above contribution		6 Agreemen	t to secure the
	the conditions as set out in the report, with the following amended Condition 16:			
	Prior to the com shall first be subred Planning Author investigations in investigations, so slope stability as the risk of a land	nitted to an app ity providing icluding as n oil testing and a sessment to pro	roved in writ details of ecessary fu watching b ovide eviden	ing by the Local further ground irther borehole irief to enable a ce to show how

include details of any retaining structures and foundations
and a specific methodology for the structures. The
development shall then be carried out, maintained and managed in accordance with the submitted details.

Name and Application No:	17/00784/FUL Mr Bardsley
Proposed Development:	Conversion of the vacant public house and associated apartment to 5 dwellings and formation of a new car park. The White Hart Inn, 91 \market Street, Mottram
Speaker(s)/Late Representations:	None.
Decision:	Approve subject to the conditions as set out in the report.

Name and Application No:	17/00982/REM BDW Trading Ltd (Barratt Manchester)
Proposed Development:	Application for the approval of reserved matters (means of access, landscaping, layout, scale and appearance) relating to the residential phase of development approved under outline planning permission 16/00959/OUT.
	Site north of Hattersley Road West (east of Fields Farm Road), Hattersley
Speaker(s)/Late Representations:	None.
Decision:	Approve subject to the conditions as set out in the report.

Name and Application No:	17/00983/REM BDW Trading Ltd (Barratt Manchester)
Proposed Development:	Application for the approval of reserved matters (means of access, landscaping, layout, scale and appearance) relating to the residential phase of development approved under outline planning permission 16/00960/OUT.
	Site off Hattersley Road West (bound by Hattersley Road West to the north west and Sandy Bank Avenue to the south and west), Hattersley.
Speaker(s)/Late Representations:	None.
Decision:	Approve subject to conditions as set out in the report.

Name and Application No:	17/00985/REM BDW Trading Ltd (Barratt Manchester)
Proposed Development:	Application for the approval of reserved matters (means of access, landscaping, layout, scale and appearance) relating to the residential phase of development approved under outline planning permission 16/00963/OUT.
	Site off Hattersley Road West (bound by Hattersley Road West to the north west and Sandy Bank Avenue to the south and west), Hattersley.
Speaker(s)/Late Representations:	None.
Decision:	Approve subject to conditions as set out in the report.

43. URGENT ITEMS

The Chair advised that there were no urgent items of business for consideration by the Panel.

CHAIR